Human-induced dwarfing of Himalayan snow lotus, *Saussurea laniceps* (Asteraceae)
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Because evolutionary processes such as genetic drift and natural selection play a crucial role in determining the response that species will have to human-induced disturbances, there is increasing interest in the evolutionary aspects of conservation biology. Harvesting select individuals in natural plant populations can bring about unforeseen impacts that may negatively affect fitness. We analyzed how human harvesting affects two congeners known as snow lotus. Over a period of 100 years, there was a negative trend in plant height ($r^2 = 0.4361, P < 0.001$) for the intensely collected and rare species, *Saussurea laniceps*, but not in the less intensely collected species, *Saussurea medusa*. Additionally, *S. laniceps* were significantly smaller in areas of high harvest than in areas with low harvest ($Z = 4.91, P < 0.0001$), but this was not so for *S. medusa*. Humans can unconsciously drive evolution and must be considered when managing threatened species.

The process of natural selection is well known to alter the traits of wild plants and animals (1, 2), as “artificial selection” alters the traits of crop plants and domesticated animals (3–5). Anthropogenic activities, such as harvesting of wild plants, also may lead to evolutionary change in natural populations, although this process is much less well understood. Harvesters who collect plants based on certain traits may unintentionally select against that trait in the remaining population. Here, we investigated whether human harvesting has selected for smaller plants in the rare Tibetan snow lotus, *Saussurea laniceps*.

Explorers and plant collectors have roamed many parts of the world to record and catalog the botanical diversity of our planet (6). Plant collections from these expeditions, typically housed in herbaria, provide scientists with records for taxonomic, systematic, and morphological studies. Because herbarium specimens provide us a glimpse of the past, we also can use these collections to examine possible changes in the distribution and phenotype of plants, particularly as a result of anthropogenic changes (7–17). However, with herbarium material alone, one cannot be certain whether a change in phenotype through time is due to a particular selective agent. To test for the role of human selection on harvested plants, we paired herbarium studies with field sampling and paired congeneric plant species that have experienced different levels of unconscious human selection.

*Saussurea laniceps* and *Saussurea medusa* (Asteraceae), known collectively as snow lotus (Fig. 1), are endemic to the eastern Himalayas. Both species have limited distributions on rocky habitats >4,000 m. Snow lotus is used in traditional Chinese and Tibetan medicine for the treatment of headaches and high blood pressure and to regulate menstrual cycles and treat menstrual problems (18). Although *S. laniceps* are primarily harvested as medicinal plants, they also have become popular souvenir items with tourists because they are strange-looking, rare, and grow in exotic locations (19). *S. medusa* are smaller and less frequently collected for medicine, sale, or souvenir. Larger individuals of *S. laniceps* are preferentially collected, because these are thought to be more potent and efficacious. Further, larger plants are easier to find. Regrettably, the whole plant of this monocarpic, long-lived species is harvested during the final flowering period, just before seed set, so that harvesting is a strong selective agent. Traditionally, snow lotus has been collected primarily on a small scale for local use. However, with better roads and the growing interest in alternative medicines, snow lotus has experienced increasingly intense harvest over the past 30 years.

**Methods**

Using historical herbarium samples and comparing them with those collected for the medicinal trade today, we documented the change in size (plant height) of *S. laniceps* and *S. medusa* over time. Both species reach their maximum size during flowering, therefore collections of flowering plants represent the plant at its largest size. We measured 218 individuals of *S. laniceps* and 309 individuals of *S. medusa*, the earliest dating back to 1872, collected by N. M. Przewalski (Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Science, St. Petersburg, Russia).

Plant height was measured on specimens of *S. laniceps* and *S. medusa* from eight different herbaria: the Missouri Botanical Garden (MO); the Gray Herbarium (GH) at Harvard University, Cambridge, MA; the Smithsonian Institution (US), Washington, DC; the Kunming Institute of Botany (KUN); the Beijing Institute of Botany (PE); the Royal Botanical Garden at Kew (K), London; the Natural History Museum in London (BM); and the Royal Botanical Garden at Edinburgh (E). These collections were the main repositories for specimens of the early explorers to northwest Yunnan, China. The collection dates of each specimen were recorded. The current sizes of plants were measured from recent collections being sold in the markets of northwest Yunnan.
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We also measured the heights of *Saussurea laniceps* and *S. medusa* plants belonging to present-day populations in heavily harvested and seldom-harvested areas. To determine heavily harvested and protected sites and thus to categorize collection pressures, we interviewed doctors and collectors. The protected sites were sacred Tibetan lands on the side of Khawa Karpo, one of the most sacred Tibetan mountains (20); the heavily harvested sites were common property alpine areas. We then measured flowering plants, from the top of the flower to ground level, that were found in these areas.

Statistically, we analyzed plant size over time, which was not normally distributed, by using Spearman’s rho, a nonparametric correlation, and analyzed the relationship of plant height by year of collection. We tested for a difference in plant size between harvested and protected sites, which had unequal sample sizes of collection. We tested for a difference in plant size between heavily harvested sites and protected sites, which had unequal sample sizes that were not normally distributed, by using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test. All statistical tests were carried out by using JMP software (version 5.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results**

*Saussurea laniceps* showed a significant decline in size over time (Fig. 2a, \( r^2 = 0.4361, P < 0.001 \)), whereas *S. medusa* showed no significant change in size (Fig. 2b). We repeated this analysis by including only herbarium specimens to ensure that the results were not an artifact of the most recent plants measured in the past 120 years, with no significant decline.

Furthermore, we compared the size of flowering plants that grew in heavily harvested areas with those that were protected in Tibetan sacred areas where very little harvesting took place (Fig. 3). We found that *S. laniceps* in the heavily harvested areas were on average 9 cm smaller than plants found in low-harvested areas (average plant height ± SE; low harvest, 22.7 cm ± 2.0; high harvest, 13.4 cm ± 0.4).

**Discussion**

Selection caused by humans is a powerful force whether conscious or unconscious, “artificial” or natural (21, 22). Paradoxically, with unconscious human selection, when a species possesses a certain trait that is valued by people (e.g., large size), individuals with that trait will be preferentially harvested, and this selection will leave individuals that possess less desirable traits (e.g., small plants). Evidence for this process has been reported from fisheries that harvest larger fish, resulting in smaller fish and lower yields (23). In our case, we observed that human harvesting of larger individuals of a rare plant for medicinal purposes resulted in the rapid evolution of smaller individuals over only 100 years. If plants that are smaller have decreased fitness because of lower seed yield (24–28), then the conservation status of this rare plant may be further threatened by this short-term evolutionary change. The immediate demographic effects of harvesting as well as the dwarfing of plants in response to unconscious anthropogenic selection may put threatened plants at greater risk of extinction.

Methodologically, our study demonstrates that herbarium specimens allow us to examine phenotypic changes due to selection in extant populations, which can facilitate conservation assessment and monitoring. This research is yet another example of how herbarium and museum collections are essential to conservation biology (29–31).
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